INTRODUCTION

• Clinicians and clients often lament the lack of an universal standard to evaluate the outcomes of treatment for chronic oedema and/or lymphoedema.
• In Australia, there are a number of regional and national organisations actively working towards reducing the burden of lymphoedema within our community by ensuring timely access to affordable lymphoedema services. These groups continue to lobby Australian federal and state governments, health professionals, researchers and health providers.

AIMS

• Our group aimed to participate in the third aspect of ILF-COM, to develop an internationally agreed set of outcome measures for patients with the condition for use in clinical practice & research.
• This would be achieved through the dissemination of the project’s survey monkey to various groups and individuals associated with chronic oedema / lymphoedema in Australia.

METHOD

• Local steering group of three health professionals formed in November 2018 to collate potential groups / stakeholders identified to participate in the on-line survey from ILF-COM considered.
• Final planned groups & individuals, spread over many Australian states, approached and provided with information and link to survey.
• Participants also informed the survey could be passed on to others associated with chronic oedema / lymphoedema.
• Survey closed March 31, 2019.
• Data received from ILF-COM.

METHOD-SETTING

The groups who participated included:
• Professional lymphoedema & wound care associated groups and individuals.
• Patient support groups-lymphoedema/cancer
• Industry suppliers for compression therapy & wound care

RESULTS- Participants

• 688 people took part in the ILF-COM survey monkey in Australia.
• 54% of these were patients.
• 266 respondents identified as health professionals, of which the majority (42%) were physiotherapists. The rest were occupational therapists (26%), nurses (17%), medical doctors (4%) and other professions (11%).
• Over half (53%) of the health professionals work in the public and 47% in the private.

RESULTS- Outcome measures

• Most (45%) of the health professionals responded that they do use some outcome measures of chronic oedema (Figure 1).
• An estimate (54%) of all respondents did not know if there was any guidelines on outcome measures available in Australia

CONCLUSION

• Amongst several outcome measures, the respondents deemed the following as the most important outcome measure:
  ➢ Limb Volume (58%)
  ➢ Quality of Life (54%)
• In contrast, compared to what is normally measured/practised, the top three measures deemed for successful chronic oedema outcomes reported were: (see Figure 2)
  ➢ Stable limb volume
  ➢ Quality of Life status
  ➢ Ability to self-manage

Figure 2: What do you believe is a successful chronic oedema / lymphoedema treatment

• Figure 3 illustrates the range of factors that could improve the adoption of chronic outcome measures. The most common factor chosen was “access to specialist chronic oedema/lymphoedema services”.

CONCLUSION

• The findings from the Australian ILF-COM survey will be discussed with the other participating frameworks in Chicago with the aim of prioritizing the issues and to progress to the next level.
• The findings and the outcome of the meetings in Chicago will be disseminated to relevant stakeholders that took part in Australia.